



Symposium on Effective Field Theories and Lattice Gauge Theory May 18-21, 2016 | TUM Institute for Advanced Study

Hadronic matrix elements for Neutrino Cross sections

Luis Alvarez Ruso







- Neutrino interactions with matter are at the heart all experiments seeking to unravel its nature.
- Oscillation experiments (with accelerator *v* in the few-GeV region): T2K, NOvA, MicroBooNE, Hyper-K, DUNE/LBNF
 - Goals: ν mass hierarchy, CP violation
 - Good understanding of neutrino interactions are important for:
 - ν detection, flavor ID
 - reduction of systematic errors
 - E_{ν} reconstruction, ν flux calibration
 - determination of (irreducible) backgrounds
 - Precision of 1-5% in ν cross sections might be required

- Neutrino interactions with matter are at the heart all experiments seeking to unravel its nature.
- Oscillation experiments (with accelerator ν in the few-GeV region):
 T2K, NOvA, MicroBooNE, Hyper-K, DUNE/LBNF
 - Goals: ν mass hierarchy, CP violation



- Neutrino interactions with matter are at the heart all experiments seeking to unravel its nature.
- Oscillation experiments (with accelerator *v* in the few-GeV region): T2K, NOvA, MicroBooNE, Hyper-K, DUNE/LBNF
 - Goals: ν mass hierarchy, CP violation
 - Good understanding of neutrino interactions are important for:
 - ν detection, flavor ID
 - reduction of systematic errors
 - E_{ν} reconstruction, ν flux calibration
 - determination of (irreducible) backgrounds
 - Precision of 1-5% in ν cross sections might be required

ν experiments



need you!

L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

TUM-IAS 2016

- Neutrino interactions with matter are at the heart all experiments seeking to unravel its nature.
- Solution experiments (with accelerator ν in the few-GeV region)
 - E.g. in the MiniBooNE $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ search



3.0

- Neutrino interactions with matter are at the heart all experiments seeking to unravel its nature.
- Oscillation experiments (with accelerator ν in the few-GeV region)
 - E.g. in the MiniBooNE $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ search
 - $\frac{\nu_l n \to l^- p}{\bar{\nu}_l p \to l^+ n}$ Charged current quasielastic scattering (CCQE)
 - ν detection and flavor ID
 - Kinematic E_{ν} reconstruction

$$E_{\nu} = \frac{2m_n E_{\mu} - m_{\mu}^2 - m_n^2 + m_p^2}{2(m_n - E_{\mu} + p_{\mu}\cos\theta_{\mu})}$$

Needed for oscillation studies:

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}) = \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \, \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{23}^2 L}{4E_{\nu}}$$

Relevance for oscillation experiments

• E.g. in the MiniBooNE $u_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ search

Backgrounds



Dominated by baryon resonance excitation

- Oscillation experiments (with accelerator ν in the few-GeV region)
- Experiments with near & far detectors: T2K, NOvA, MicroBooNE, Hyper-K, DUNE/LBNF



Near detectors help to reduce systematic errors:

$$\frac{N_{events}^{far}(E_{\nu})}{N_{events}(E_{\nu})} = \frac{\int \sigma(E_{\nu}')\Phi(E_{\nu}')P(E_{\nu}|E_{\nu}')P_{osc}(E_{\nu}')dE_{\nu}'}{\int \sigma(E_{\nu}')\Phi(E_{\nu}')P(E_{\nu}|E_{\nu}')dE_{\nu}'}$$

F. Sanchez @ NuPhys2015

but c.s. uncertainties do not cancel (exactly) in the ratio

exposed to different fluxes with different flavor composition

different geometry, acceptance and targets

L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

TUM-IAS 2016

Analogies with direct DM searches

I XENON, LUX, ...

- Spin independent WIMP-Nucleus cross section $\sim \sigma^2_{\pi N}$
- πN sigma term can be extracted using ChPT + IQCD
 Mith CLU(2) 4 seconicate DChDT with A(1222) + 10 to π .
- With SU(2) p^4 covariant BChPT with $\Delta(1232)$ LAR et al., PRD 88 (2013)





$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G_F \cos \theta_C}{\sqrt{2}} l^\alpha J_\alpha$$

where $l^{\alpha} = \bar{u}(k')\gamma^{\alpha}(1-\gamma_5)u(k)$ $J_{\alpha} = \bar{u}(p')\left[\gamma_{\alpha}F_1^V + \frac{i}{2M}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}q^{\beta}F_2^V + \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5F_A + \frac{q_{\mu}}{M}\gamma_5F_P\right]u(p)$

Vector form factors: $F_{12}^V = F_{12}^p - F_{12}^n$ $G_E = F_1 + \frac{q^2}{2m_N}F_2 \quad \leftarrow \text{ electric}$ $G_M = F_1 + F_2 \quad \leftarrow \text{ magnetic}$

L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

TUM-IAS 2016

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\operatorname{CCQE} : \nu(k) + n(p) & \rightarrow & l^{-}(k') + p(p') \\
& \bar{\nu}(k) + p(p) & \rightarrow & l^{+}(k') + n(p') \\
\operatorname{NCE} : \nu(k) + N(p) & \rightarrow & \nu(k') + N(p') \\
& \bar{\nu}(k) + N(p) & \rightarrow & \bar{\nu}(k') + N(p') \\
\end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G_F \cos \theta_C}{\sqrt{2}} l^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}$$

where
$$l^{\alpha} = \bar{u}(k')\gamma^{\alpha}(1-\gamma_5)u(k)$$

$$J_{\alpha} = \bar{u}(p')\left[\gamma_{\alpha}F_1^V + \frac{i}{2M}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}q^{\beta}F_2^V + \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5F_A + \frac{q_{\mu}}{M}\gamma_5F_P\right]u(p)$$

Axial form factors:

$$\begin{split} F_{A}(Q^{2}) &= g_{A}F(Q^{2}) \,, \, F_{P}(Q^{2}) = \frac{2M^{2}}{Q^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2}} F_{A}(Q^{2}) \,, \, Q^{2} = -q^{2} > 0 \\ g_{A} &= 1.267 \leftarrow \beta \, \text{decay} \qquad \text{PCAC} \end{split}$$

Axial form factor: Q² dependence

CCOE on H and D (BNL, ANL) \leftarrow early 80s

$$F_A(Q^2) = g_A \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^{-2} \qquad \langle r_A^2 \rangle = \frac{12}{M_A^2}$$

 \blacksquare M_A= 1.016 \pm 0.026 GeV Bodek et al., EPJC 53 (2008)

From π electroproduction on p: Bernard et al., PRL69 (1992)

$$6 \left. \frac{dE_{0+}^{(-)}}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} = \langle r_A^2 \rangle + \frac{3}{M} \left(\kappa^{\mathrm{v}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{64f_{\pi}^2} \left(1 - \frac{12}{\pi^2} \right)$$

 \blacksquare $M_{\text{A}}\text{=}$ 1.014 \pm 0.016 GeV $\,$ Liesenfeld et al., PLB 468 (1999) 20 $\,$

Axial form factor: Q² dependence ■ CCQE on H and D (BNL, ANL) ← early 80s



TUM-IAS 2016

Axial form factor: Q² dependence

CCOE on H and D (BNL, ANL) \leftarrow early 80s

$$F_A(Q^2) = g_A \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^{-2} \qquad \langle r_A^2 \rangle = \frac{12}{M_A^2}$$

 \blacksquare M_A= 1.016 \pm 0.026 GeV Bodek et al., EPJC 53 (2008)

From π electroproduction on p: Bernard et al., PRL69 (1992)

$$6 \left. \frac{dE_{0+}^{(-)}}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=0} = \langle r_A^2 \rangle + \frac{3}{M} \left(\kappa^{\mathrm{v}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{64f_{\pi}^2} \left(1 - \frac{12}{\pi^2} \right)$$

 \blacksquare $M_{\text{A}}\text{=}$ 1.014 \pm 0.016 GeV $\,$ Liesenfeld et al., PLB 468 (1999) 20 $\,$

Dipole ansatz

- Not theoretically justified
- Leads to artificially small errors in M_A

$$F_A(Q^2) = g_A \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^{-2}$$

- z-expansion Meyer et al., arXiv:1603.03048
 - Fit to ANL, BNL, FNAL data
 - Systematic errors: acceptance and deuteron corrections



<r_A²> = 0.46(22) fm² vs 0.453(12) fm² Bodek et al., EPJC 53 (2008)

L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

F_A & IQCD

More precise information about F_A

New CCQE measurements on D/H target



Modern data?

L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

CCQE on nuclear targets

CCQE on 12 C



The problem:

CCQE-like on nuclear targets

The solution:

- multinucleon (2p2h) contributions
 - Martini et al., PRC 80 (2009)
 - Nieves et al., PRC 83 (2011)
 - Amaro et al., PLB 696 (2011)
 - + RPA (important at low Q²)





Correlation diagrams

CCQE-like on nuclear targets

The solution:

- multinucleon (2p2h) contributions
 - Martini et al., PRC 80 (2009)
 - Nieves et al., PRC 83 (2011)
 - Amaro et al., PLB 696 (2011)
 - + RPA (important at low Q²)

Martini et al.



L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

TUM-IAS 2016

Nieves et al.

2p2h and E_{ν} energy reconstruction



E_{ν} misreconstruction is bound to have an impact oscillation analyses Lalakulich, Mosel, PRC 86 (2012); Coloma, Huber, PRL 111(2013); Jen et al., PRD 90 (2014)

Bias remains after the ND is taken into account

Ab initio Quantum MC method

Solution of the quantum many-body problem for nuclear Hamiltonians
 NN & NNN forces

Computation of Euclidean (Im time) responses

= Laplace transforms of Response functions

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon'\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\nu/\overline{\nu}} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi^2} k'\epsilon' \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} \left[R_{00} + \frac{\omega^2}{q^2}R_{zz} - \frac{\omega}{q}R_{0z} + \left(\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{2q^2}\right)R_{xx} \mp \tan\frac{\theta}{2}\sqrt{\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{q^2}}R_{xy}\right],$$

■ 1-body + 2-body (nonrelativistic) currents
 ■ Cannot describe π production [static Δ(1232)]
 Considerable computational effort: A ≤ 12

Ab initio Quantum MC method

Solution of the quantum many-body problem for nuclear Hamiltonians
 NN & NNN forces

Computation of Euclidean (Im time) responses

= Laplace transforms of Response functions

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon'\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\nu/\overline{\nu}} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi^2} k'\epsilon' \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} \left[R_{00} + \frac{\omega^2}{q^2}R_{zz} - \frac{\omega}{q}R_{0z} + \left(\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{2q^2}\right)R_{xx} \mp \tan\frac{\theta}{2}\sqrt{\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{q^2}}R_{xy}\right],$$

1-body + 2-body (nonrelativistic) currents

- Cannot describe π production [static Δ (1232)]
- Considerable computational effort: $A \le 12$

Significant (~ 30%) contribution from 2-body current to the transverse/interference NC responses on ¹²C
 A. Lovato et al., PRL 112 (2014); PRC 91 (2015)

2-nucleon currents in EFT and IQCD

In Chiral EFT e.g. Barone et al., PRC93 (2016)

- two-nucleon axial currents: OPE, TPE, CT
- LO,..., N3LO
- **non-relativistic, no** Δ (1232) intermediate states

In IQCD:

Two-body EM contributions to n p \rightarrow d γ

Beane et al., PRL 115 (2015)

First step toward the determination of EW two-nucleon interactions

 $\nu_l \, N \to l \, \pi \, N'$

• CC:
$$\nu_{\mu} p \rightarrow \mu^{-} p \pi^{+}, \quad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} p \rightarrow \mu^{+} p \pi^{-}$$

 $\nu_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu^{-} p \pi^{0}, \quad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} p \rightarrow \mu^{+} n \pi^{0}$
 $\nu_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu^{-} n \pi^{+}, \quad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu^{+} n \pi^{-}$

source of CCQE-like events (in nuclei)

needs to be subtracted for a good E_{ν} reconstruction

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{NC:} \quad \nu_{\mu} \, p \to \nu_{\mu} \, p \, \pi^{0}, \qquad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, p \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, p \, \pi^{0} \\ \nu_{\mu} \, p \to \nu_{\mu} \, n \, \pi^{+}, \qquad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, n \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, n \, \pi^{0} \\ \nu_{\mu} \, n \to \nu_{\mu} \, n \, \pi^{0}, \qquad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, n \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, n \, \pi^{0} \\ \nu_{\mu} \, n \to \nu_{\mu} \, p \, \pi^{-}, \qquad \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, n \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, p \, \pi^{-} \end{array}$$

 \blacksquare e-like background to $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ (T2K)

$$\nu_l N \rightarrow l \pi N'$$

From Chiral symmetry:



Hernandez et al., Phys.Rev. D76 (2007) 033005

$\nu_l N \to l \pi N'$

• Δ (1232) excitation:



■ <u>⊿(1232)</u> J^P=3/2⁺

$$J_{\alpha} = \bar{u}^{\mu}(p') \left[\left(\frac{C_{3}^{V}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\alpha} p'_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{5}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q_{\alpha} p_{\mu}) \right) \gamma_{5} + \frac{C_{3}^{A}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\beta} p'_{\mu}) + C_{5}^{A} g_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{C_{6}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} q_{\alpha} q_{\mu} \right] u(p)$$

 $C_{3-5}^V, C_{3-6}^A \leftarrow N-\Delta$ transition form factors

Rarita-Schwinger fields: spin 3/2

$$u_{\mu}(p,s_{\Delta}) = \sum_{\lambda,s} \left(1\lambda \frac{1}{2}s \Big| \frac{3}{2}s_{\Delta} \right) \epsilon_{\mu}(p,\lambda) u(p,s)$$

• Eq. of motion: $(\not p - M_{\Delta}) u_{\mu} = 0$

with constrains:
$$\gamma^{\mu}u_{\mu}=p^{\mu}u_{\mu}=0$$

■ <u>⊿(1232)</u> J^P=3/2⁺

$$J_{\alpha} = \bar{u}^{\mu}(p') \left[\left(\frac{C_{3}^{V}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\alpha} p'_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{5}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q_{\alpha} p_{\mu}) \right) \gamma_{5} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{C_{3}^{A}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\beta} p'_{\mu}) + C_{5}^{A} g_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{C_{6}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} q_{\alpha} q_{\mu} \right] u(p)$$

Helicity amplitudes are extracted from data on π photo- and electroproduction in (model dependent) partial-wave analyses

$$A_{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\alpha}{k_R}} \langle R, J_z = 1/2 \left| \epsilon_{\mu}^{+} J_{\rm EM}^{\mu} \right| N, J_z = -1/2 \rangle \zeta$$

$$A_{3/2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\alpha}{k_R}} \langle R, J_z = 3/2 \left| \epsilon_{\mu}^{+} J_{\rm EM}^{\mu} \right| N, J_z = 1/2 \rangle \zeta$$

$$S_{1/2} = -\sqrt{\frac{2\pi\alpha}{k_R}} \frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{\sqrt{Q^2}} \langle R, J_z = 1/2 \left| \epsilon_{\mu}^{0} J_{\rm EM}^{\mu} \right| N, J_z = 1/2 \rangle \zeta$$

Weak Resonance excitation

■ <u>⊿(1232)</u> J^P=3/2⁺

$$J_{\alpha} = \bar{u}^{\mu}(p') \left[\left(\frac{C_{3}^{V}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\alpha} p'_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{5}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q_{\alpha} p_{\mu}) \right) \gamma_{5} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{C_{3}^{A}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\beta} p'_{\mu}) + C_{5}^{A} g_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{C_{6}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} q_{\alpha} q_{\mu} \right] u(p)$$

Helicity amplitudes are extracted from data on π photo- and electroproduction in (model dependent) partial-wave analyses



L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

Resonance excitation in ν MC generators:

- Rein-Sehgal model: Rein-Sehgal, Ann. Phys. 133 (1981) 79.
- Helicity amplitudes for 18 baryon resonances; relativistic quark model
- **Poor description of** π electroproduction data on p



Leitner et al., POS NUFACT08

TUM-IAS 2016

Weak Resonance excitation

■ <u>⊿(1232)</u> J^P=3/2⁺

$$J_{\alpha} = \bar{u}^{\mu}(p') \left[\left(\frac{C_{3}^{V}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\alpha} p'_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{5}^{V}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q_{\alpha} p_{\mu}) \right) \gamma_{5} \right] \\ + \frac{C_{3}^{A}}{M_{N}} (g_{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}) + \frac{C_{4}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} (g_{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q_{\beta} p'_{\mu}) + C_{5}^{A} g_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{C_{6}^{A}}{M_{N}^{2}} q_{\alpha} q_{\mu} \right] u(p)$$

Axial form factors

 $C_5^A(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} g_{\Delta N\pi} \quad \leftarrow \text{ off diagonal Goldberger-Treiman relation}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta N\pi} = -\frac{g_{\Delta N\pi}}{f_{\pi}} \bar{\Delta}_{\mu} (\partial^{\mu} \vec{\pi}) \vec{T}^{\dagger} N \qquad g_{\Delta N\pi} \Leftrightarrow \Gamma(N^* \to N\pi)$

Deviations from GTR arise from chiral symmetry breaking
 expected only at the few % level

N- Δ axial form factors: determination of C^A₅(0) and M_{A Δ}

$$C_5^A = C_5^A(0) \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{A\Delta}^2} \right)^{-2}$$

- From ANL and BNL data on $u_{\mu} \, d o \mu^{-} \, \pi^{+} \, p \, n$
- Hernandez et al., PRD 81 (2010)
 - Deuteron effects
 - $C^{A_5}(0) = 1.00 \pm 0.11$, $M_{A \Delta} = 0.93 \pm 0.07$ GeV
 - 20% reduction vs the GT relation $C_5^A(0) = 1.15 1.2$
- LAR, Hernandez, Nieves, Vicente Vacas, PRD 93 (2016)
 - Unitarization in the leading vector and axial multipoles
 - Phases enforced to correspond to $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ (Watson's theorem)
 - $C^{A_5}(0) = 1.12 \pm 0.11$, $M_{A \Delta} = 0.95 \pm 0.06$ GeV
 - Consistent with the off-diagonal GT relation $C_5^A(0) = 1.15 1.2$

Discrepancies between ANL and BNL datasets



Reanalysis by Wilkinson et al., PRD90 (2014)

- **Flux normalization independent ratios:** CC1 π^+ / CCQE
- Good agreement for ratios
- Better understood CCQE cross section used to obtain the CC1 π^+ one

Fits to ANL and BNL data

- C^A₅(0) =1.12 \pm 0.11, M_{A Δ} = 0.95 \pm 0.06 GeV \leftarrow original data (A)
- C^A₅(0) = 1.14 \pm 0.07, M_{A Δ} = 0.96 \pm 0.07 GeV \leftarrow reanalysis (B)
- For $C^{A_5}(0)$:
 - Relative error: $r_A = 10 \% \Rightarrow r_B = 6 \%$

However

- No improvement in $M_{A \Delta}$ precision
- ANL and BNL data do not constrain C^A_{3,4} : consistent with zero
- Little (no) sensitivity to heavier baryon resonances
- Modern data: nuclear targets \leftrightarrow in-medium effects, π FSI
- In the absence of new measurements on nucleons...

■ N-△ axial form factors in IQCD

Alexandrou et al., PRD83 (2011)



L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

Inclusive resonance production



T. Leitner, O. Buss, LAR, U. Mosel, PRC 79 (2009) T. Leitner, PhD Thesis, 2009

■ At $E_{\nu} = 2$ GeV, CCN*(1520)/CC $\Delta \sim 0.5$, CCN*(1440,1535)/CC $\Delta \sim 0.22$ ■ N*(1520) is important for $\nu_l N \rightarrow l N' \pi$

Inclusive resonance production



T. Leitner, O. Buss, LAR, U. Mosel, PRC 79 (2009) T. Leitner, PhD Thesis, 2009

Baryon resonances contribute to

- the inclusive $\nu_l N \to l X$
 - several exclusive channels:

 $\begin{array}{l}
\nu_{l} N \to l N' \gamma \\
\nu_{l} N \to l N' \eta \\
\nu_{l} N \to l \Lambda(\Sigma) \overline{K}
\end{array}$

L. Alvarez-Ruso, IFIC

Inclusive resonance production



T. Leitner, O. Buss, LAR, U. Mosel, PRC 79 (2009) T. Leitner, PhD Thesis, 2009

Educated guess for the axial sector:

- **GTR** for leading $F_A(0)$, $M_A = 1$ GeV
- Subleading form factors → 0

 $F_A(q^2) = F_A(0) \left(1 - rac{q^2}{M_A ^2}
ight)^{-2}$

Conclusions

- ν scattering on nucleons and nuclei is relevant for oscillation studies
- Interesting for hadron and nuclear physics
- v event generators should be improved/corrected/extended using phenomenological models:
 - consistent with symmetries (in particular ChPT close to threshold)
 - consistent with photon, electron, pion scattering data
- Input/benchmarks from ab initio calculations, including IQCD